

“ An important difference between these test and those conducted by the Munitions Development Division (in the United States) in October is that men, instead of animals, were exposed by the Canadians and their data are proportionately more valuable. They have made what appears to be an excellent classification of casualty effects, which makes possible an accurate evaluation of spray. The results indicate the advantage of using human rather than animal subjects, particularly because, aside from the physiological differences, an animal tied to a stake is unlikely to behave in the same way as a soldier in the field, and this is a determining factor in effectiveness of spray ...